

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/815,317	04/01/2004	Phil Van Dyke	VP127	7237	
20178 EPSON RESE	7590 10/28/200 ARCH AND DEVELO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT			ABDULSEL	ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
SAN JOSE, C.	RD PARKWAY, SUIT A 95131	E 225	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/815,317 VAN DYKE, PHIL Office Action Summary

Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		ABBAS I. ABDULSELAM	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	or Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ sisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 sisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 prior of for riply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply the set or only within the set or order period for riply with U.y statute, sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Oc	ctober 2008.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
- =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
	·							
	4) Claim(s) 29-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-34</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	(s) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Annlicati	on Papers							
	· .							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		=vaminar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
-	•	ammer. Note the attached office	Action of lonn's	10-102.				
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National	Stage				
* 0			.d					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______. 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/08/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 29 as amended states recites "producing an output, in which a data line is held constant for a period of time that is equivalent to a multiple of an inverse of the first fraction and a period of time required to transmit data representing a single pixel".

Application/Control Number: 10/815,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

The following part of the specification is indicated to support the above claim limitation.

Page 4, lines 14-25 of the specification states:

"In the present invention fewer than all the data words may actually be displayed. In particular, the display controller 14 may substitute for a selected subset of the full set of image data words the image data words from one or more contiguous pixels and provide the resulting modified set of image data words to the electro-optical image display. Thus, a one-half mode display may be produced, as illustrated by Figure 1 (c), wherein every other data word is assigned the same value as its predecessor. Similarly, as illustrated in Figure 1 (d), three contiguous data words are set to the value of the data word preceding them so as to produce a one-quarter-mode display. The invention also allows for a display blank mode, as illustrated by Figure 1 (e). In general, any of many fractional display modes may be produced by the invention in the same way. When such a fractional display mode is used, display data signal toggling is reduced and power is 25 therefore saved"

Page 6, lines 15-23 of the specification states:

Thus, in the case of one-half display mode, the display interface requests that only every other pixel data word be read from the memory 36, and the data lines of the output port 40 are held constant for two pixels, which reduces data toggling by one-half and produces a concomitant reduction in power consumption. Similarly, in the case of one-quarter display mode, only every fourth pixel data word is read from memory and the 20 data lines of the output port are held constant for four pixels, which reduces the data toggling by one quarter and produces a concomitant reduction in power consumption. Generally, any fractional display may be produced using the same scheme to reduce power consumption at the cost of display quality.

Neither the above portion of the specification nor the figures teaches suggests or implies the claimed "period of time" as claimed in claim 29. Hence proper correction is needed.

Claims 30-34 are rejected because they depend on claim 29.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ABBAS I. ABDULSELAM whose telephone number is (571)272-7685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from Art Unit: 2629

9:00A.M. to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu, can be reached on 571-272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Abbas I Abdulselam/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

October 25, 2008